



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Whythington D.C. 2023)
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/909.513	07/20/2001	Michelle Marie Svatos	2001P13112US	9679
75	90 10/24/2002			
Siemens Corporation			EXAMINER	
Attn: Elsa Keller, Legal Administrator Intellectual Property Department			CHURCH, CRAIG E	
186 Wood Avenue South Iselin, NJ 08830			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2882	
		DATE MAILED: 10/24/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. Applicant(s) Office Action Summary Examiner **Group Art Unit** —The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address— **Period for Reply** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** X Claim(s) 1 2 3 is/are pending in the application. Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) _____ _ _ _ X Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. are subject to restriction or election ☐ Claim(s)_____ requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. is approved disapproved. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on_____ ☐ The drawing(s) filed on______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:_ Attachment(s) X Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 □ Other ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Office Action Summary

Serial No. 909,513 Art Unit 2882

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 1-9, 11-15, 18, 19 and 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Siochi (6167114) in view of Leavitt et al (5160847). Siochi teaches therapy apparatus comprising an electron gun, waveguide electron accelerator 14, bending magnet 15, movable target 17 for emitting x-ray photons, collimator 19 and accessory holder 21. See lines 1-31 of column 5.

Serial No. Art Unit 909,513 2882

Leavitt teaches a multileaf electron collimator configured to be inserted or removed from the standard therapy machine accessory holder (lines 28-65 of column 3) and includes vanes 40/41 and electronics circuit boards 61, 70 and 71, and It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the Leavitt collimator in the Siochi machine since that is its disclosed purpose.

Claims 10, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Siochi in view of Leavitt as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Karlsson cited by applicant. Figure 1 of Karlsson illustrates the use of a helium container in the electron beam path of a therapy machine, and It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use same in the Siochi/Leavitt device to mitigate electron absorption.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Leavitt.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Examiner Church at telephone number (703) 308-4861.

Croug & Church

CRAIG E. CHURCH Senior Examiner ART UNIT 2882